

The effective mass of atom-radiation field system and the cavity-field Wigner distribution in the presence of a homogeneous gravitational field in the Jaynes-Cummings model

M.Mohammadi *

Department of Physics, Islamic Azad University - Shahreza Branch, Shahreza, Isfahan, Iran

February 2, 2009

Abstract

The effective mass that approximately describes the effect of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on an interacting atom-radiation field system is determined within the framework of the Jaynes-Cummings model. By taking into account both the atomic motion and gravitational field, a full quantum treatment of the internal and external dynamics of the atom is presented. By solving exactly the Schrödinger equation in the interaction picture, the evolving state of the system is found. Influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the energy eigenvalues, the effective mass of atom-radiation field system and the Wigner distribution of the radiation field are studied, when initially the radiation field is prepared in a coherent state and the two-level atom is in a coherent superposition of the excited and ground states.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 42.50.Dv

Keyword: Jaynes-Cummings model, atomic motion, gravitational field, effective mass, Wigner distribution

*majid471702@yahoo.com

1 Introduction

There are several theoretical schemes for the problem of describing the action of cavity in quantum optics [1-4]. The effective mass approach is one of these theoretical schemes. Larson et al. investigate the effect of cavity on the atomic motion [5]. They introduced the effective mass parameter by using the Floquet theory [6-8]. In this theory, the photonic wavelength is small compared with the cavity length and the system can be treated approximately as periodic. The spectra of periodic Hamiltonians are known to consist of allowed energies in forms of bands, separated by forbidden gaps. These articles, which deal with the action of cavity in quantum optics, are based on the Jaynes-Cummings model (JCM) [9]. The JCM of a two-level atom interacting with a single quantized mode of the electromagnetic field in a lossless cavity within the rotating wave approximation (RWA) is one of the few exactly solvable quantum mechanical models in quantum optics. In the framework of this model many nonclassical effects of atom-radiation field interactions, such as Rabi oscillations [10,11], collapse-revival phenomena [12-14], sub-Poissonian statistics [15] and squeezing of the radiation field [16] have been predicted.

The recent experiments with Rydberg atoms [17-19] have allowed the study of the dynamics of the two-level atom interacting with a single mode of the radiation field and to test the above mentioned nonclassical effects. The standard JCM, however, is not always valid. In this model, the atom is either assumed to be studied still relative to the cavity mode, or to have a large kinetic energy; in both cases the atomic motion is described classically and the kinetic-energy term may be excluded from the Hamiltonian. But, if the kinetic energy of the atom is of the same order of magnitude as the atom-radiation field interaction energy, the dynamics is significantly changed [20]. Thus, for every cold atom (ion) [21], the kinetic energy term for the atomic center of mass motion must be treated quantum mechanically.

On the other hand, experimentally, atomic beams with very low velocities are generated in laser cooling and atomic interferometry [22]. It is obvious that for atoms moving with a velocity of a few millimeters or centimeters per second for a time period of several milliseconds or more, the influence of Earth's acceleration becomes important and cannot be neglected [23]. For this reason, it is of interest to study the temporal evolution of a moving atom simultaneously exposed to the gravitational field and a single-mode cavity-field. Since any quantum optical experiment in the laboratory is actually made in a non-inertial frame it is important to estimate the influence of Earth's acceleration on the outcome of the experiment. By referring to

the equivalence principle, one can get a clear picture of what is going to happen in the interacting atom-field system exposed to a classical homogeneous gravitational field [24]. A semi-classical description of a two-level atom interacting with a running laser wave in a gravitational field has been studied [25]. However, the semi-classical treatment does not permit the study of the pure quantum effects occurring in the course of atom-field interaction. Within a quantum treatment of the internal and external dynamics of the atom, a theoretical scheme has recently presented [26] based on an $\text{su}(2)$ algebraic structure to investigate the influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the quantum non-demolition measurement of atomic momentum in the dispersive JCM. Also, the effects of the gravitational field on quantum statistical properties of the lossless [24] as well as the phase-damped JCMs [27] have investigated. It has been found that the gravitational field seriously suppresses non-classical properties of both the cavity-field and the moving atom.

In this paper first the effective mass of atom-radiation field system is obtained and then the influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the energy eigenvalues, the effective mass of atom-radiation field system and the Wigner distribution of the radiation field of the JCM are investigated. In the Jaynes-Cummings model, when the atomic motion is in a propagating light wave, a two-level atom interacting with the quantized cavity-field in the presence of a homogeneous gravitational field is considered. By solving the Schrödinger equation in the interaction picture, the evolving state of the system is found. In section 2, a quantum treatment of the internal and external dynamics of the atom with an alternative $\text{su}(2)$ dynamical algebraic structure within the system is presented. Based on this $\text{su}(2)$ structure and in the interaction picture, first the effective Hamiltonian describing the atom-field interaction in the presence of a classical gravity field is obtained and then the effective mass of the system is gained. In section 3, we investigate the dynamical evolution of atom-radiation field system and show that how the gravity may affect the Wigner distribution of the radiation field, when initially the radiation field is prepared in a coherent state and the two-level atom is in a coherent superposition of the excited and ground states. The summarized conclusions are presented in section 4.

2 The Effective Mass for the JCM in the Presence of Gravitational Field

The Hamiltonian of atom-field system in the presence of gravitational field with the atomic motion along the position vector $\hat{\vec{x}}$ and in the rotating wave approximation is given by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} - M\vec{g}\cdot\hat{\vec{x}} + \hbar\omega_c(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{eg}\hat{\sigma}_z + \hbar\lambda[\exp(-i\vec{q}\cdot\hat{\vec{x}})\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{\sigma}_- + \exp(i\vec{q}\cdot\hat{\vec{x}})\hat{\sigma}_+\hat{a}], \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ denote, respectively, the annihilation and creation operators of a single-mode traveling wave with frequency ω_c , $\vec{q}$ is the wave vector of the running wave and $\hat{\sigma}_\pm$ denote the raising and lowering operators of the two-level atom with electronic levels $|e\rangle, |g\rangle$ and Bohr transition frequency ω_{eg} . The atom-field coupling is given by the parameter λ and $\hat{\vec{p}}, \hat{\vec{x}}$ denote, respectively, the momentum and position operators of the atomic center of mass motion and g is Earth's gravitational acceleration. It has been shown [26] that based on $\text{su}(2)$ algebraic structure, as the dynamical symmetry group of the model, the Hamiltonian (1) can be transformed to the following effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = \hbar\omega_c\hat{K} - \hbar\hat{\Delta}(\hat{\vec{p}}, \vec{g}, t)\hat{S}_0 + \hbar\lambda(\sqrt{\hat{K}}\hat{S}_- + \sqrt{\hat{K}}\hat{S}_+), \quad (2)$$

where the operators

$$\hat{S}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(|e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|), \hat{S}_+ = \hat{a}|e\rangle\langle g|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{K}}}, \hat{S}_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{K}}}|g\rangle\langle e|\hat{a}^\dagger, \quad (3)$$

with the following commutation relations

$$[\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_\pm] = \pm\hat{S}_\pm, [\hat{S}_-, \hat{S}_+] = -2\hat{S}_0, \quad (4)$$

are the generators of the $\text{su}(2)$ algebra and the operator

$$\hat{\Delta}(\hat{\vec{p}}, \vec{g}, t) = \frac{3}{2}(\omega_c - (\omega_{eg} + \frac{2\vec{q}\cdot\hat{\vec{p}}}{3M} + \frac{2\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}t}{3})), \quad (5)$$

has been introduced as the Doppler shift detuning at time t [26]. The Hamiltonian (2) has the form of the Hamiltonian of the JCM, the only modification

being the dependence of the detuning on the conjugation momentum and the gravitational field. The eigenvalues and eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (2) are respectively given by [28]

$$E_{\pm,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = \hbar\omega_c(n+1) \pm \hbar\sqrt{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)^2 + 4\lambda^2(n+1)}, \quad (6)$$

$$|+, n, \vec{p}\rangle = \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)|e\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle + \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)|g\rangle \otimes |n+1\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle, \quad (7)$$

$$|-, n, \vec{p}\rangle = \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)|e\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle - \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)|g\rangle \otimes |n+1\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \equiv \frac{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)}{\sqrt{(\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t))^2 + 4\lambda^2(n+1)}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \equiv \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{(\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t))^2 + 4\lambda^2(n+1)}}, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \equiv \sqrt{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)^2 + 4\lambda^2(n+1)}, \quad (11)$$

as the Rabi frequency. In Fig.1, the energy eigenvalues is plotted as a function of the scaled time λt for three values of the parameter $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g}$. In this figure and all the subsequent figures we set $q = 10^7 m^{-1}$, $M = 10^{-26} Kg$, $g = 9.8 \frac{m}{s^2}$, $\omega_{rec} = \frac{\hbar q^2}{2M} = .5 \times 10^6 \frac{rad}{s}$, $\lambda = 1 \times 10^6 \frac{rad}{s}$ and $\omega_c = 9 \times 10^7 \frac{rad}{s}$ [24-27]. In Figs.1a, 1b and 1c we consider the gravitational influence for $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 0.5 \times 10^7$, $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1 \times 10^7$ and $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1.5 \times 10^7$. By comparing Figs.1a, 1b and 1c we can see the influence of gravity on the time evolution of the energy eigenvalues. With the increasing value of the parameter $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g}$, the energy eigenvalues separation decrease.

Now, we consider the effective mass m^* for a moving two-level atom of mass M exposed simultaneously to a single-mode traveling wave field and a homogeneous gravitational field [5]

$$m^* = \left| \frac{\partial^2 E_{\pm,n}}{\partial p^2} \right|^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

By using equations (6) and (12) the effective mass of the system is obtained

$$m^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = \eta^{-3} \Omega_n^3(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t), \quad (13)$$

where $\eta = \left(\frac{4\lambda^2 \hbar(n+1)q^2 \cos^2 \theta}{M^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$, and θ is the angle between $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{p}$. The time evolution of the effective mass of the system has been shown in Figs.2a, 2b and 2c for three values of the parameter $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g}$. With the increasing value of the parameter $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g}$, the effective mass of the system decreases.

3 Dynamical Evolution and the Wigner Distribution of a Field Mode

In section 2, the effective mass with an effective Hamiltonian for the atom-field system in the presence of a homogeneous gravitational field is obtained. In this section, dynamical evolution of the system will be investigated. How the gravitational field may affect the Wigner distribution of a field mode will be showed. For this purpose, the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\psi\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}_{eff} |\psi\rangle, \quad (14)$$

for the state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$ with the Hamiltonian (2) will be solved. At time $t = 0$ the atom is uncorrelated with the field and the state vector of the system can be written as a direct product

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t=0)\rangle &= |\psi_{c.m.}(0)\rangle \otimes |\psi_{atom}(0)\rangle \otimes |\psi_{field}(0)\rangle \\ &= \left(\int d^3p \phi(\vec{p}) |\vec{p}\rangle \right) \otimes (c_e |e\rangle + c_g |g\rangle) \otimes \left(\sum_{n=0} w_n |n\rangle \right), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we have assumed that initially the field is in a coherent superposition of Fock states, the atom is in a coherent superposition of its excited and ground states, and the wave vector for the center-of-mass degree of freedom is $|\psi_{c.m.}(0)\rangle = \int d^3p \phi(\vec{p}) |\vec{p}\rangle$. In notation (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t=0)\rangle &= \int d^3p \sum_{n=0} (\psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) |e\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle \\ &+ \psi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) |g\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the initial conditions are found

$$\psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) = w_n c_e \phi(\vec{p}), \psi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) = w_n c_g \phi(\vec{p}). \quad (17)$$

At any time $t > 0$, the atom-field state in the presence of a homogeneous gravitational field is described by the state

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \int d^3p \sum_{n=0} (\psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) |e\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle + \psi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) |g\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \otimes |\vec{p}\rangle). \quad (18)$$

By solving the Schrödinger equation (14) and by using equations (6), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = \phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t), \quad (19)$$

$$\psi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = (\phi_{1,n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_{n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) - \phi_{2,n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \cos \vartheta_{n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)), \quad (20)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = & (\phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) A_0(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \exp(-i\omega_c(n+1)t) \\ & \times \exp(i[\frac{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)\Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)}{2\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \\ & + \frac{2\lambda^2(n+1)}{\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \log(\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t))]), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) = & (\phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) A_0^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \exp(-i\omega_c(n+1)t) \\ & \times \exp(-i[\frac{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)\Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)}{2\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \\ & + \frac{2\lambda^2(n+1)}{\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \log(\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t))]), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) = & \exp(-i[\frac{\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)\Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)}{2\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \\ & + \frac{2\lambda^2(n+1)}{\vec{q}\cdot\vec{g}} \log(\Delta(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) + \Omega_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0))], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) = & \psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \\ & + \psi_{2,n+1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) = & \psi_{2,n+1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \\ & - \psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0) \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the initial conditions $\psi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)$ and $\psi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)$ in (17) are defined. Also, $\sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)$ and $\cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t=0)$ in (9) and (10) are defined, respectively.

Now, the Influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the Wigner distribution of the radiation field will be studied. Brune et al. [29] show that, at the atomic inversion half-revival time, due to the quantum interaction between the atom and the field, the Wigner distribution of the field mode has two positive blobs symmetrically with respect to the origin

and one negative blob in the origin. Kenfack and Zyczkowski [30] show that, negativity of the Wigner function is an indicator of nonclassicality. Also, $t = t_R/2 = 7\pi/2\lambda$ [31] is found which corresponds to one-half of the revival time of the atomic inversion when the gravitational field is not taken into account. However, in these theoretical studies results are obtained only under the condition that the atomic motion is neglected and the influence of the gravitational field is not taken into account. The Wigner distribution is particularly convenient for displaying simultaneously the energy and the phase information of a single mode field in a very simple and graphic form. It also allows for a simple analysis of the field. The Wigner distribution in the presence of the gravitational field $W(\beta, \beta^*, \vec{g}, t)$ of the complex amplitude $\beta = X + iY$ is the real two-dimensional Fourier transformation of the symmetric characteristic function, defined as [32]

$$W(\beta, \beta^*, \vec{g}, t) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \exp(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}) C_N(\gamma, \gamma^*, \vec{g}, t) \exp(\beta\gamma^* - \beta^*\gamma) d^2\gamma, \quad (26)$$

where the field normally ordered characteristic function is given by

$$C_N(\gamma, \gamma^*, \vec{g}, t) = \langle \exp(\gamma \hat{a}^\dagger) \exp(-\gamma^* \hat{a}) \rangle = \text{Tr}_f[\hat{\rho}_f(\vec{g}, t) \exp(\gamma \hat{a}^\dagger) \exp(-\gamma^* \hat{a})], \quad (27)$$

where γ is a c-number variable and at any time $t > 0$, the reduced density operator of the cavity-field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_f(\vec{g}, t) &= \text{Tr}_a[\hat{\rho}_{a-f}(\vec{g}, t)] = \int d^3p \langle \vec{p} | \otimes \left(\sum_{i=e,g} \langle i | \psi(t) \rangle \langle \psi(t) | i \rangle \right) \otimes | \vec{p} \rangle \\ &= \int d^3p \sum_{n,m=0} ((\phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)) \\ &\times (\phi_{1,m}^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \cos \vartheta_m(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) + \phi_{2,m}^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_m(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)) \\ &+ ((\phi_{1,n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_{n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) - \phi_{2,n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \cos \vartheta_{n-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)) \\ &\times ((\phi_{1,m-1}^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \sin \vartheta_{m-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) - \phi_{2,m-1}^*(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t) \\ &\times \cos \vartheta_{m-1}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)))) |n\rangle \langle m|, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\sin \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)$, $\cos \vartheta_n(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)$, $\phi_{1,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)$ and $\phi_{2,n}(\vec{p}, \vec{g}, t)$ in (9), (10), (21) and (22) are defined, respectively. We assume at $t = 0$, the two-level atom is in a coherent superposition of the excited state and the ground state with $c_g(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $c_e(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. We now consider the influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the Wigner distribution of the radiation field when at $t = 0$, the cavity-field is prepared in a Glauber coherent state, $w_n(0) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}) \alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$. In Fig.3 the Wigner distribution of the

radiation field as a function of the scaled time λt is plotted. We consider $\phi(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0}} \exp(\frac{-p^2}{\sigma_0^2})$ with $\sigma_0 = 1$, $\alpha = 5$ [27] and $t = t_R/2 = 7\pi/2\lambda$ [31]. In Fig.3a the Wigner distribution of the radiation field with small gravitational influence will be considered. This means very small $\vec{q}.\vec{g}$, i.e., the momentum transfer from the laser beam to the atom is only slightly altered by the gravitational acceleration because the latter is very small or nearly perpendicular to the laser beam. In Fig.3a, the Wigner distribution has both negative and positive values for $\vec{q}.\vec{g} = 0$. In Figs.3b and 3c the evolution of the Wigner distribution of the radiation field for $\vec{q}.\vec{g} = 0.5 \times 10^7$ and $\vec{q}.\vec{g} = 1.5 \times 10^7$ will be considered, respectively. In Figs.3b and 3c, with the increasing value of the parameter $\vec{q}.\vec{g}$, the nonclassical behavior of the Wigner distribution of the cavity-field is suppressed.

4 Summary and conclusions

The effective mass that approximately describes the effect of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on an interacting atom-radiation field system determined within the framework of the Jaynes-Cummings model. By taking into account both the atomic motion and gravitational field, a full quantum treatment of the internal and external dynamics of the atom presented. By solving exactly the Schrödinger equation in the interaction picture, the evolving state of the system found. Influence of a classical homogeneous gravitational field on the energy eigenvalues, the effective mass of atom-radiation field system and the Wigner distribution of the radiation field studied, when initially the radiation field is prepared in a coherent state and the two-level atom is in a coherent superposition of the excited and ground states. The results are summarized as follows: With the increasing value of the parameter $\vec{q}.\vec{g}$, 1) the energy eigenvalues separation decrease 2) the effective mass of the system decreases and 3) the nonclassical behavior of the Wigner distribution of the cavity-field is suppressed.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank The Office of Research of the Islamic Azad University - Shahreza Branch for their support.

References

- [1] P.W.Milonni and P.L.Knight, Optics Commun. **9**, 119 (1973).
- [2] L.Allen and J.H.Eberly, John Wiley, New York, (1975).
- [3] P.Meystre and M.S.Zubairy, Phys.Lett.A **89**, 390 (1982).
- [4] S.Sachdev, Phys.Rev.A **29**, 2627 (1984).
- [5] J.Larson, J.Salo and S.Stenholm, Phys.Rev.A **73**, 013823 (2006).
- [6] W.Ren and H.J.Carmichael, Phys.Rev.A **51**, 752 (1995).
- [7] M.Wilkens, E.Schumacher and P.Meystre, Phys.Rev.A **44**, 3130 (1991).
- [8] G.Compagno, J.S.Peng and F.Persico, Phys.Rev.A **26**, 2065 (1982).
- [9] E.T.Jaynes and F.Cummings, Proc.IEEE **51**, 89 (1963).
- [10] J.J.Sanchez-Mondragon, N.B.Narozhny and J.H.Eberly, Phys.Rev.Lett. **51**, 550 (1983).
- [11] G.S.Agarwal, Phys.Rev.Lett. **53**, 1732 (1984).
- [12] H.I.Yoo and J.H.Eberly, Phys.Rep. **118**, 239 (1985).
- [13] N.B.Narozhny, J.J.Sanchez-Mondragon and J.H.Eberly, Phys.Rev.A **23**, 236 (1981).
- [14] P.L.Knight and P.M.Radmore, Phys.Rev.A **26**, 676 (1982).
- [15] R.Short and L.Mandel, Phys.Rev.Lett. **51**, 384 (1983).
- [16] J.R.Kuklinski and J.L.Madajczyk, Phys.Rev.A **37**, 317 (1988).
- [17] Y.Kaluzny, P.Goy, M.Gross, J.M.Raimond and S.Haroche, Phys.Rev.Lett. **51**, 1175 (1983).
- [18] D.Mecshede, H.Walther and G.Muller, Phys.Rev.Lett. **54**, 551 (1985).
- [19] M.Brune, J.M.Raimond, P.Goy, L.Davidovich and S.Haroche, Phys.Rev.Lett. **59**, 1899 (1987).

- [20] M.O.Scully, G.M.Meyer and H.Walther, Phys.Rev.Lett.**79**, 4144 (1996); G.M.Meyer, M.O.Scully and H.Walther, Phys.Rev.A **56**, 4142 (1997).
- [21] D.M.Meekhof, C.Monroe, B.E.King, W.M.Itano and D.J.Wineland, Phys.Rev.Lett. **76**, 1796 (1996).
- [22] C.Adamas, M.Sigel, and J.Mlynek, Phys.Rep. **240**, 143 (1994).
- [23] A.Kastberg, W.D.Philips, S.L.Rolston, R.J.C.Spreeuw and P.S.Jessen, Phys.Rev.Lett. **74**, 1542 (1995).
- [24] M.Mohammadi, M.H.Naderi and M.Soltanolkotabi, J.Phys.A: Math.Theor. **40**, 1377 (2007).
- [25] C.Lammerzahl and C.J.Borde, Phys.Lett.A **203**, 59 (1995); K.P.Marzlin and J.Audertsch, Phys.Rev.A **53**, 1004 (1995).
- [26] M.Mohammadi, M.H.Naderi and M.Soltanolkotabi, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. **39**, 11065 (2006).
- [27] M.Mohammadi, M.H.Naderi and M.Soltanolkotabi, Int.J.Theor.Phys, online first, (2007).
- [28] M.H.Naderi, M.Soltanolkotabi and R.Roknizadeh, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.**73**, 2413 (2004).
- [29] M.Brune, S.Haroche, J.M.Raimond, L.Davidovich and N.Zagury, Phys.Rev.A **45**, 5193 (1992).
- [30] A.Kenack and K.Zyczkowski, J.Opt.B **6**, 396 (2004).
- [31] M.Mohammadi, M.H.Naderi and M.Soltanolkotabi, Eur.Phys.J.D **47**, 295 (2008).
- [32] J.Perina, *Quantum Statistics of Linear and Non Linear Optical Phenomena* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984).

FIGURE CAPTIONS:

FIG. 1 The time evolution of the energy eigenvalues versus the scaled time λt . Here we have set $q = 10^7 m^{-1}$, $M = 10^{-26} kg$, $g = 9.8 \frac{m}{s^2}$, $\omega_{rec} = .5 \times 10^6 \frac{rad}{s}$, $\lambda = 1 \times 10^6 \frac{rad}{s}$, $\omega_c = 9 \times 10^7 \frac{rad}{s}$, and $\alpha = 5$;

a) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 0.5 \times 10^7$.

b) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1 \times 10^7$.

c) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1.5 \times 10^7$.

FIG. 2 The time evolution of the effective mass of atom-radiation field system versus the scaled time λt ;

a) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 0.5 \times 10^7$.

b) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1 \times 10^7$.

c) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1.5 \times 10^7$.

FIG. 3 The Wigner distribution of the cavity-field versus $X = Re(\beta)$ and $Y = Im(\beta)$ with the same corresponding data used in Fig.1 and $t = \frac{t_R}{2} = \frac{7\pi}{2\lambda}$;

a) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 0$.

b) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1 \times 10^7$.

c) For $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{g} = 1.5 \times 10^7$.